

#### ICF International / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9 1337 South 46<sup>th</sup> Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698 Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.

### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4

THROUGH:

Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM) RF

Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM:

Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager

Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041

Technical Direction Form No.: 00105041 Amendment 7

DATE:

January 8, 2008

SUBJECT:

Review of Analytical Data, Tier 2

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:

Omega Chem OU2

Site Account No.:

09 BC LA02

CERCLIS ID No.:

CAD042245001

Case No.:

Not Provided

SDG No.:

IPI0104

Laboratory:

Test America Analytical Testing Corp. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (1,2,3-TCP)

Analysis: Samples:

6 Water Samples (see Case Summary)

Collection Date:

September 1, 2006

Reviewer:

Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

SAMPLING ISSUES: [X] Yes [] No

## Data Validation Report – Tier 2

Case No.: Not Provided SDG No.: IPI0104

Site: Omega Chem OU2

Laboratory: Test America Analytical Testing Corp.

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: January 8, 2008

#### I. CASE SUMMARY

Sample Information

Samples: OC2-MW20C-W-0-234, OC2-MW20B-W-0-235,

OC2-MW20A-W-0-236, OC2-MW20A-W-1-237, OC2-MW9B-W-0-238, and OC2-MW9A-W-0-239

Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water

Analysis: 1,2,3-TCP (GC/MS)
Method: EPA Method 524.2

Collection Date: September 1, 2006 Sample Receipt Date: September 1, 2006

Extraction Date: September 11 and 12, 2006 Analysis Date: September 11 and 12, 2006

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not Provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided

Field Duplicates (D1): OC2-MW20A-W-0-236 and OC2-MW20A-W-1-237

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

C6I1103-BLK1: OC2-MW20C-W-0-234, OC2-MW20B-W-0-235,

OC2-MW20A-W-0-236, OC2-MW20A-W-1-237, and

OC2-MW9B-W-0-238

C6I1201-BLK1: OC2-MW9A-W-0-239

**Tables** 

1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

#### Sampling Issues

The chain of custody (COC) form did not specify the sample to be used for laboratory quality control (QC). The laboratory did perform matrix spike/matrix spike/duplicate (MS/MSD) analyses but it is not known which samples were spiked (see Comment A).

The COC (attached) indicated that ascorbic acid was used as preservative. According to the electronic mail dated 02/28/07 (attached), HCl was also added to the samples. Sample holding time was met based on the use of HCl as preservative.

#### **Additional Comments**

As directed by the EPA TOM, a Tier 2 data review was performed (review all QC

### results and calibrations, minus calculation check). A Table 1A is not requested.

The raw data for 1,2,3-TCP is missing in the data package; data for initial calibrations, continuing calibrations, run logs, tune reports, quantitation reports, and chromatograms are not provided. Only sample results and QC summaries are provided (attached, pp. 4 and 8 of 10 in data package) for review.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services (CLPAS) Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- EPA Method 524.2, Measurement of Purgeable Organic Compounds in Water by Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, Revision 4.1, 1995;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999.

#### II. VALIDATION SUMMARY

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	Parameter	<u>Acceptable</u>	Comment
1.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
2.	GC/MS and GC Performance	N/A	
3.	Initial Calibration	N/A	
4.	Continuing Calibration	N/A	
5.	Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
6.	Field Blanks	N/A	
7.	Surrogate	N/A	
8.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	No	$\mathbf{A}$
9.	Laboratory Control Samples	Yes	
10.	Internal Standard	N/A	•
11.	Compound Identification	N/A	
12.	Compound Quantitation	N/A	
13.	System Performance	N/A	
14.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

#### III. VALIDITY AND COMMENTS

A. The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate recoveries (78%/79%) for 1,2,3-TCP analyzed on 09/11/06 were below the laboratory QC limit of 80-120%. MS/MSD recoveries (97%/112%) for 1,2,3-TCP analyzed on 09/12/06 were within the laboratory QC limit. It is not known which samples were spiked because the sample cross reference for the subcontracted laboratory is not provided. Consequently, the matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

#### TABLE 1B

## DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review," October 1999.

- U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

Matrix spike sample analysis provides information about the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and measurement.

Test/America

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

17461 Denan Ave # 100 Indigs CA 92324 (999) 261 1022 FAX (999) 260 3299 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Colton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4687 FAX (909) 370-1046 9830 South 51st.St.; Suite B-12D. Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Suitset Rul #3, Las Vegas NV 89120 (702) 796-3620 FAX (702) 798-3628

Livin ..

Special Instructions 90-1-6 \_\_ of\_\_\_ 72 hours 1500 5 days normal # Turnaround Time: (Check) Sample Integrity: (Check) 1 same day Analysis Required 24 hours 48 hours intact 1730 Date/Time:/ Date/Time: Received in Lab By: Preservatives wed By: Beceived By: 44 2 14364 Ryon 4 Case # Rocs8c Sampling Sampling Date Time 1420 340 9/1/06 0845 5701 10, Regel Project/PO Number. Phone Number: Fax Number: Cont. g Date/Time: Date/Time: Sample Container Matrix Type 1004 (-12) P. J. Asala 90-1-6 9-1-06 SHUTTER COTTE DE CATE STORY Project Manager: Lan Jubl. 15k 152-0-11-702MW-230 OCZ-MU9B-W-0-238 Dan Jaklash 22-MU20A-W-1-237 OCZ - MW 9A-W-0-239 002- MW20 R-W-0-635 062-4W20A-W-0-23L Sample Description Client Name/Address: Remoderated By: Relinduished By: Relinquished By Sampler:

Note: By relinquishing samples to Test America, client agrees to pay for the services requested on this chain of custody form and any additional analyses performed on this project Payment for services is due within 30 days from the date of invoice. Sample(s) will be disposed of after 30 days.



Rose Fong/R9/USEPA/US 02/28/2007 09:49 AM

TO Stan KoluR9/USEPA/US@EPA

CÇ

boc

Subject Omega Chem TestAmerica CRL response

History:

A. This message has been forwarded.

--- Forwarded by Rose Fong/RS/USEPA/US on 02/28/2007 09:48 AM ----



Victoria.Taylor@CH2M.com 02/28/2007 08:45 AM

To Rose Fong/RS/USEPA/US@EPA

Daniel Jabionski@CH2M.com, tom.perina@ch2m.com

Subject FW: Request for Additional Information

I got a response from Test America on the sample preservation issues. They provided the bottle order that indicates how the bottles for the different test methods were preserved. It appears that the COC was incorrectly filled out.

Hopefully this will be enough to resolve the validation issues. Thanks VT

From: Diane Suzuki [mailto:dsuzuki@testamericainc.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2007 3:08 PM

To: Taylor, Victoria/BAO

Cc: Jablonski, Daniel/LAC; Perina, Tom/RIV Subject: RE: Request for Additional Information.

MI WILLI

I started to go through the various workerders, but I thought it would be easier to send you the bottle order that was submitted for this eround of sampling. Please note on the bottle order the HCL preservative with the ascorbic acid is not visable. While the method 524,2 allows sodium thiosulfate and HCl as a dechlorinating agent/preservative pair, we have found that it actually damages the trap on the instrument. For this reason, we have been trying to use Ascorbic Acid and HCl exclusively. The HCl is required for THMs when Ascorbic Acid is used.

For the your COC that has HCL only, I believe that due to space limitation, your sampler may not have entered all of the information.

...diane



ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

**GPL** Laboratories

7210-A Corporate Court

Attention: Tim Mikesell

Frederick, MD 21703

Project ID: Omega Chemical OU-2 SSID-BC

R06S80

Report Number: IPI0104

Sampled: 09/01/06

Received: 09/01/06

## CDHS SRL 524 MODIFIED METHOD FOR 1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE PT/GCMS

Analyte	Method	Batch	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IPI0104-01 (OC2-MW200 Reporting Units: ng/L	C-W-0-234 - Water)			Sampled	l: 09/01/06	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1103	5.0	ND	1	9/11/2006	9/11/2006	
Sample ID: IPI0104-02 (OC2-MW20) Reporting Units: ng/L	B-W-0-235 - Water)	1		Sampled	1: 09/01/06			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1103	5.0	ND	1	9/11/2006	9/11/2006	•
Sample ID: IPI0104-03 (OC2-MW20. Reporting Units: ng/L	A-W-0-236 - Water)			Sampled	: 09/01/06			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1103	5.0	ND	. 1	9/11/2006	9/11/2006	
Sample ID: IPI0104-04 (OC2-MW20	A-W-1-237 - Water)			Sampled	: 09/01/06			
Reporting Units: ng/L 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1103	5.0	ND	1	9/11/2006	9/11/2006	
Sample ID: IPI0104-05 (OC2-MW9B Reporting Units: ng/L	-W-0-238 - Water)		٠.	Sampled	: 09/01/06			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1103	5.0	ND	1.	9/11/2006	9/11/2006	
Sample ID: IPI0104-06 (OC2-MW9A			Sampled	: 09/01/06				
Reporting Units: ng/L 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	SRL 524M-TCP	C6I1201	5.0	ND	. 1	9/12/2006	9/12/2006	



**GPL** Laboratories

Project ID: Omega Chemical OU-2 SSID-BC

R06S80

Sampled: 09/01/06

7210-A Corporate Court Frederick, MD 21703 Attention: Tim Mikesell

Report Number: IPI0104

Received: 09/01/06

# METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

# CDHS SRL 524 MODIFIED METHOD FOR 1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE PT/GCMS

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	Data
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Qualifiers
Batch: C6I1103 Extracted: 09/11/06	•									1 2
Blank Analyzed: 09/11/2006 (C6I1103-I	BLK1)									
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	ND	5.0	ng/L							
LCS Analyzed: 09/11/2006 (C6I1103-B	S1)									
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	4.42	5.0	ng/L	5.00		88	80-120		•	
Matrix Spike Analyzed: 09/11/2006 (C6	I1103-MS1)				Source: C	PI0173-03	3			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	39.2	5.0	ng/L	50.0	ND	78	80-120			M2
Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 09/11/2000	6 (C611103-M	(SD1)			Source: C	PI0173-03	3			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	39.4	5.0	ng/L	50.0	ND	79	80-120	. 1	20	M2
Batch: C6I1201 Extracted: 09/12/06								,		
Blank Analyzed: 09/12/2006 (C6I1201-I	BLK1)			٠						
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	ND	5.0	ng/L							
LCS Analyzed: 09/12/2006 (C6I1201-BS	S1)									
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	4.47	5.0	ng/L	5.00		89	80-120			
Matrix Spike Analyzed: 09/12/2006 (C6	I1201-MS1)				Source: C	PI0252-01	l		,	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	48.4	5.0	ng/L	50.0	ND	97	80-120			
Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 09/12/2006	6 (C6I1201-M	SD1)			Source: C	PI0252-01	l			
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (123-TCP)	55.8	5.0	ng/L	50.0	ND	112	80-120	14	20	